Case: 25-3727, 07/18/2025, DktEntry: 47.1, Page 1 of 25

No. 25-3727

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of California, STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States, PETE HEGSETH, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Defense, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

Defendants-Appellants.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Case No. 3:25-cv-04870-CRB | Hon. Charles R. Breyer

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE FORMER U.S. ARMY AND NAVY SECRETARIES AND RETIRED FOUR-STAR ADMIRALS AND GENERALS

Beau Tremitiere
Kristy Parker
PROTECT DEMOCRACY UNITED
2020 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 163
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 579-4582
beau.tremitiere@protectdemocracy.org
kristy.parker@protectdemocracy.org

Susan Har

Counsel of Record

Mack E. Jenkins Matthew J. Craig HECKER FINK LLP

1150 South Olive Street, Suite 10-140

Los Angeles, CA 90015

(212) 763-0883

shar@heckerfink.com mjenkins@heckerfink.com mcraig@heckerfink.com

Attorneys for Amici Curiae Former U.S. U.S. Army and Navy Secretaries and Retired Four-Star Admirals and Generals

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page(s)
DENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	5
ARGUMENT	3
I. Deploying Military Personnel for Domestic Law Enforcement Diverts Them from Their Primary Mission	
II. National Guard Personnel and Active-Duty Marines Are Not Trained or Qualified to Operate in the Context of Domestic Law Enforcement	2
III. Deploying Military Personnel for Assistance with Law Enforcement Operations Should Be a Last Resort to Avoid Politicizing the Military14	1
CONCLUSION1	3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s) STATUTES
10 U.S.C. § 2535
10 U.S.C. § 502
10 U.S.C. § 124066
18 U.S.C. § 13855
OTHER AUTHORITIES
150 National Guard troops returned to California command; 'Trump caved,' Newsom says, L.A. TIMES (June 30, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-30/military-requests-200-national-guard-troops-return-to-ca-command (last visited July 15, 2025)
About the Guard: How We Began, NAT'L GUARD, https://www.nationalguard.mil/About-the-Guard/How-We-Began (last visited June 11, 2025)
Active Duty Military Strength by Service, DEF. MANPOWER DATA CTR. (Apr. 30, 2025), https://dwp.dmdc.osd.mil/dwp/app/dod-data-reports/workforce-reports (select "Strength Summary") (last visited June11, 2025)9
Alexandra Hutzler, 'Acts of a Dictator': Newsom Lashes Out at Trump After Arrest Threat, ABC NEWS (June 9, 2025, 3:12 PM), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/war-words-trump-newsom-la-protests-escalates-arrest/story?id=122662589 (last visited June 11, 2025)
Andrew Gumbel, <i>Troops and marines deeply troubled by LA deployment:</i> " <i>Morale is not great,</i> " THE GUARDIAN (June 12, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jun/12/los-angeles-national-guard-troops-marines-morale (last visited July 15, 2025)

King riots (Jun. 10, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/rodney-king-riots-national-guard-los-angeles-69114889118a85f8f29c4d76c076a45f (last visited July 15, 2025)	15
Brief Histories, U.S. MARINE CORPS, https://www.usmcu.edu/Research/Marine Corps-History-Division/Brief-Histories (last visited June 11, 2025)	
California fire season is off to a furious start, and experts say it's just the beginning, L.A. TIMES (June 30, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-30/california-fire-season-off-to-hot-start (last visited July 15, 2025)	11
Elizabeth Goitein, "The Insurrection Act" by Any Other Name: Unpacking Trump's Memorandum Authorizing Domestic Deployment of the Military, JUST SECURITY (June 10, 2025), https://www.justsecurity.org/114282/memorandum-national-guard-losangeles/	
Informational Hearing – Changing Landscape of Veterans Benefits in Californ California State Assembly (March 25, 2025), https://amva.assembly.ca.gov/system/files/2025-03/background-march-25 hearing-on-veterans-benefits-final.pdf	5-
Konstantin Toropin & Steve Benyon, <i>Bragg Soldiers Who Cheered Trump's Political Attacks While in Uniform Were Checked for Allegiance, Appearance</i> , MILITARY.COM (June 11, 2025, 5:50 PM EDT), https://www.military.com/daily-news/2025/06/11/bragg-soldiers-who-cheered-trumps-political-attacks-while-uniform-were-checked-allegiance-appearance.html	
Memorandum from President Donald J. Trump to Attorney General Pam Bond and Secretary of Homeland Security Kristi Noem, Security for the Protection of Department of Homeland Security Functions (June 7, 2025) https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/06/department-of-defense-security-for-the-protection-of-department-of-homeland-security-functions (last visited June 11, 2025)	,

Trump Warns Protests at Military Parade Will Be Met With Force, REUTERS (June 11, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-warns-protests-army-parade-will-be-met-with-very-big-force-2025-06-10 (last visited June	
11, 2025)	ر 1
U.S. Dep't of Def., Directive 1344.10, Political Activities by Members of the Armed Forces 3 (2008),	
https://www.fvap.gov/uploads/FVAP/Policies/doddirective134410.pdf1	4
Veterans' advocates warn of low morale amid L.A. deployment: "This is not what we signed up for," L.A. TIMES (June 24, 2025),	
https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-24/veteran-advocates-	
low-morale-l-a-deployment (last visited July 15, 2025)	6

Case: 25-3727, 07/18/2025, DktEntry: 47.1, Page 7 of 25

IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE¹

Amici are former secretaries of the U.S. Army and Navy and retired four-star admirals and generals. Collectively, they served under each president from John F. Kennedy to Barack H. Obama.

Amici are acutely interested in this case because deploying National Guard and active-duty military personnel in the context of domestic law enforcement should be a rare and carefully considered occurrence that strictly complies with the Posse Comitatus Act and its exceptions. Domestic deployments that fail to adhere to these long-established guardrails threaten the Guard's and the active-duty military's core national security and disaster relief missions; place deployed personnel in uncommon situations for which they lack appropriate training, thus posing safety concerns for personnel and the public alike; and risk inappropriately politicizing the military, leading to additional risks to recruitment, retention, morale, and cohesion of the force.

This submission is based on *amici*'s collective experience serving in and leading our military, their direct experience commanding active-duty service

As required by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29(a)(4)(E), *amici* provide this statement: no counsel for a party authored this brief in whole or in part. No person other than *amici* or their counsel made a monetary contribution to this brief's preparation or submission.

personnel, and their interest in preserving our military's apolitical role in safeguarding national security.

Amici's short biographies listed below capture a measure of their distinguished service to our country, as well as their expertise on matters encompassing the mission of the National Guard and armed services and the well-being of all those who serve in uniform.

Admiral Steve Abbot, United States Navy (Retired), graduated from the U.S. Naval Academy in 1966, after which he was deployed to Vietnam and began a 34-year career with the U.S. Navy. His final active-duty tour was as Deputy Commander-in-Chief, U.S. European Command from 1998 to 2000. Following his retirement, Admiral Abbot served as Deputy Homeland Security Advisor to President George W. Bush from 2001 to 2003.

Admiral Thad Allen, United States Coast Guard (Retired), retired in 2010 as the 23rd Commandant of the U.S. Coast Guard. Admiral Allen led the federal responses to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. He led Atlantic Coast Guard forces in response to the 9/11 attacks and coordinated the Coast Guard response to the Haitian Earthquake of 2010.

Former Secretary of the Army Louis Caldera graduated from the U.S. Military Academy at West Point and served in the Army on active duty from 1978 to 1983. He served in two Senate-confirmed positions in the Clinton Administration,

including Secretary of the Army, and as an Assistant to the President and Director of the White House Military Office in the Obama Administration.

General Carlton W. Fulford, Jr., United States Marine Corps (Retired), received his commission in June 1966, following graduation from the U.S. Naval Academy. He served as a platoon and company commander in Vietnam. Over the next four decades, he served as Commanding Officer, Task Force Ripper during Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm; Commanding General, First Marine Expeditionary Force; Commanding General, Third Marine Expeditionary Force; Commander, U.S. Marine Forces Pacific; and Director, The Joint Staff. General Fulford retired as the Deputy Commander-in-Chief, United States European Command in 2002.

General Michael Hayden, United States Air Force (Retired), entered active military service in 1969. During his career, he rose to the rank of four-star general and served as Director of the Central Intelligence Agency and the National Security Agency. General Hayden also served as Commander of the Air Intelligence Agency and held senior staff positions at the Pentagon, Headquarters U.S. European Command, and the National Security Council.

Admiral Samuel Jones Locklear, III, United States Navy (Retired), graduated from the U.S. Naval Academy in 1977. He served for 39 years and retired as commander of U.S. Pacific Command. His prior commands include Commander,

Case: 25-3727, 07/18/2025, DktEntry: 47.1, Page 10 of 25

U.S. Naval Forces Europe, U.S. Naval Forces Africa, and Allied Joint Force Command Naples; Commander, U.S. 3rd Fleet; and Commander, Nimitz Strike Group.

Former Secretary of the Navy Sean O'Keefe began his public service career in 1978 at the Department of Defense and as U.S. Senate staff until his appointment as the Department of Defense Comptroller and Chief Finance Officer in 1989. President George H.W. Bush later named him the 69th Secretary of the Navy. Secretary O'Keefe also served in President George W. Bush's Administration as Deputy Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the 10th Administrator of NASA.

Admiral Bill Owens, United States Navy (Retired), retired in 1996 as the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. He began his career as a nuclear submariner, spending a total of 4,000 days—or more than ten years—aboard submarines, including duty in Vietnam. Admiral Owens was a senior military assistant to two Secretaries of Defense and served as commander of the U.S. 6th Fleet during Operation Desert Storm.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The United States military is not primarily a law enforcement organization and is prohibited by law from acting as a domestic police force unless doing so is "expressly authorized by the Constitution or Act of Congress." The Insurrection Act, which President Trump has notably *not* invoked in relation to the events that underlie this lawsuit, gives limited authority to the president to deploy federal troops to quell "any insurrection, domestic violence, unlawful combination, or conspiracy" against the United States government and to execute federal civil rights laws when they are obstructed. That authority has been used sparingly throughout this country's history, and rightfully so in a democracy governed by civilians elected by the American people.

Indeed, past deployments of federal troops for domestic law enforcement purposes have responded to extreme circumstances where state governors have openly defied federal authority or where state officials have sought federal assistance. Those circumstances include President Dwight D. Eisenhower's

² Posse Comitatus Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1385.

³ 10 U.S.C. § 253. For background on the Insurrection Act, see generally Elizabeth Goitein, "The Insurrection Act" by Any Other Name: Unpacking Trump's Memorandum Authorizing Domestic Deployment of the Military, JUST SECURITY (June 10, 2025), https://www.justsecurity.org/114282/memorandum-national-guard-los-angeles/ (last visited July 15, 2025).

Brown v. Board of Education to desegregate schools; President Lyndon B. Johnson's federalization of Guard troops to protect civil rights marchers in Selma, Alabama; and President George H.W. Bush's federalization of Guard troops—upon California Governor Pete Wilson's request—to quell the widespread Los Angeles riots in 1992.

Between June 7 and June 9, 2025, President Trump federalized, in total, 4,000 California National Guard troops and deployed them, along with 700 active-duty Marines, to Los Angeles in response to civilian protests against actions by federal immigration enforcement agents. After the district court issued a temporary restraining order in favor of Plaintiffs, a panel for the Ninth Circuit granted a stay pending appeal. The panel's June 25, 2025 Order concluded that it was likely the President lawfully exercised his statutory authority under 10 U.S.C. § 12406(3), which permits the President—whenever "unable with the regular forces to execute the laws of the United States"—to call the militia "in such numbers as he considers necessary to execute those laws." At the same time, the panel expressly noted that "nothing in [its] decision addresses the nature of the activities in which the federalized National Guard may engage." Order at 40. The panel also commented that any concerns with the continued presence of federalized National Guard members in Los Angeles "worsening, not improving tensions on the ground" and "impair[ing] the Guard's ability to perform critical functions for the State" were

counterbalanced by reported federal property damage and federal employee injuries. *Id.* Finally, the panel noted that it did not know "whether future protests will grow due to the deployment of the National Guard" or "what emergencies may occur in California while the National Guard is deployed." Order at 40-41.

* * *

The President has deployed the United States military without invoking the Insurrection Act, narrowly defining the troops' mission, or ensuring they act only to execute those laws of the United States that the "regular forces" are unable to execute. The governor of California and mayor of Los Angeles have objected to this deployment, and the Los Angeles Police Department has expressly represented that it is capable of controlling the protests without federal intervention. Since the panel's Order, the level of protest-related unrest in Los Angeles has decreased, even as highly-publicized immigration enforcement activities have continued. At the same time, California has entered fire season while the National Guard unit assigned to combatting wildfires is at just 40% of its regular staffing levels.⁴ And while it was just recently announced that approximately 2,000 National Guard troops will be returned to California's command, another 2,000 federalized Guard troops and 700

⁴ 150 National Guard troops returned to California command; 'Trump caved,' Newsom says, L.A. TIMES (June 30, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-30/military-requests-200-national-guard-troops-return-to-ca-command (last visited July 15, 2025).

Marines remain activated in the area.⁵ Deployment under these circumstances poses multiple risks to the core mission of the National Guard and the Marines, and to the well-being of the troops.

First, deploying military personnel in the context of domestic law enforcement diverts them from their primary mission, which is national security and disaster response, at the expense of local, state, and national safety. Second, National Guard personnel and active-duty Marines are not trained or qualified to conduct domestic law enforcement operations, which poses a danger to the safety of both the troops and the public. Third, the use of federal military personnel in the context of law enforcement operations should be a last resort to avoid the politicization of the military, which inevitably erodes public trust, impacts recruitment, and undermines troop morale.

Amici submit this brief to more fully explain these risks and assist the Court in determining whether this case should be reheard en banc.

ARGUMENT

I. Deploying Military Personnel for Domestic Law Enforcement Diverts Them from Their Primary Mission

⁵ Trump officials to send home half of the 4,000 National Guard troops in L.A., L.A. TIMES (July 15, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-07-15/trump-admin-to-send-home-half-of-the-4-000-national-guard-troops-still-deployed-in-l-a (last visited July 15, 2025).

Both the United States Marine Corps ("USMC") and the National Guard play critical roles in protecting national security. USMC is "America's expeditionary force in readiness," prepared to respond rapidly to threats against the nation with "innovative and agile warfighting capabilities in all domains." As one of the six armed forces of the United States, USMC serves as the maritime land force component of the U.S. military and has approximately 167,000 active-duty members. Marines are primarily trained for overseas conflict zones and have fought in every major international U.S. conflict since their founding in 1775. Domestic deployment of USMC is extremely rare and typically occurs under extraordinary circumstances, with the vast majority of USMC operations conducted overseas.

The National Guard, founded in 1636 as a citizen-soldier force, has a dual mission: (1) to serve as a reserve component of the active-duty military, and (2) to protect life and property within communities at home.⁹ The Guard primarily

⁶ *The Corps*, U.S. MARINE CORPS, https://www.marines.mil/The-Corps (last visited June 11, 2025).

⁷ Active Duty Military Strength by Service, DEF. MANPOWER DATA CTR. (Apr. 30, 2025), https://dwp.dmdc.osd.mil/dwp/app/dod-data-reports/workforce-reports (select "Strength Summary") (last visited June 11, 2025).

⁸ Brief Histories, U.S. MARINE CORPS, https://www.usmcu.edu/Research/Marine-Corps-History-Division/Brief-Histories (last visited June 11, 2025).

⁹ About the Guard: How We Began, NAT'L GUARD,

https://www.nationalguard.mil/About-the-Guard/How-We-Began (last visited June 11, 2025); *Our History*, ARMY NAT'L GUARD, https://nationalguard.com/guard-history (last visited June 11, 2025).

provides domestic civil support, natural disaster relief, border security, election support, and other support as requested by governors and/or the president, including law enforcement support in the event of civil unrest. However, the "civil unrest" response component has historically been narrowly limited, especially in situations calling for the performance of core law enforcement functions, which the Guard is neither trained nor primarily intended to execute. The Guard is unique within the U.S. military as a dual-status force with both state and federal responsibilities, allowing it to be activated under the authority of either state or federal leadership, pursuant to strict limitations set forth in federal law.

The California National Guard (which is part of the National Guard) is vital to the state's disaster preparedness and emergency response. As one of the largest National Guard forces in the country—with more than 18,000 troops¹⁰—it serves California's population of 39 million people, providing essential support during crises. The California National Guard is routinely deployed for wildfire suppression, search and rescue, and emergency response during earthquakes, floods, and other natural disasters.

on-veterans-benefits-final.pdf.

¹⁰ Informational Hearing – Changing Landscape of Veterans Benefits in California, California State Assembly (March 25, 2025), https://amva.assembly.ca.gov/system/files/2025-03/background-march-25-hearing-

California relies heavily on its Guard's rapid response capabilities, particularly during wildfire season, which begins in June and brings the risk of fastmoving, large-scale fires. The diversion of California Guard personnel away from these critical state missions risks degrading the state's emergency preparedness. Notably, the California Guard has spent months engaged in wildfire response and recovery operations resulting from the catastrophic January 2025 fires in the Los Angeles area. Indeed, wildfire season is again upon Southern California, starting with several fires that flared up across Riverside and San Bernadino counties at the end of June. 11 The National Interagency Fire Center has predicted that that much of the state would likely see above-normal significant fire potential through at least September, given expected heat and a lack of rainfall, with a more immediate risk in Southern California. 12 Upon recommendation by the U.S Northern Command, the Administration has released approximately 150 members of the National Guard so that they could return to regular duty fighting wildfires in California. 13

California fire season is off to a furious start, and experts say it's just the beginning, L.A. TIMES (June 30, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-30/california-fire-season-off-to-hot-start (last visited July 15, 2025).

¹² National Significant Wildland Fire Potential Outlook, National Interagency Fire Center (July 1, 2025), https://www.nifc.gov/nicc-files/predictive/outlooks/monthly_seasonal_outlook.pdf (last visited July 15, 2025). https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/01/us/california-national-guard-trump.html (last visited July 15, 2025); see also Task Force 51 releases 150

II. National Guard Personnel and Active-Duty Marines Are Not Trained or Qualified to Operate in the Context of Domestic Law Enforcement

The National Guard and USMC personnel currently deployed in and around Los Angeles likely have and, according to public reports, will receive limited instruction and training on how to handle civil disturbances. And any training that they do receive pales in comparison to the in-depth and ongoing education provided to domestic law enforcement officers. ¹⁴ Domestic law enforcement—particularly in emotionally charged situations and instances of civil unrest—is a specialized skill set for which law enforcement officers train extensively and continually. Personnel in the U.S. military, on the other hand, do not receive extensive training on how to operate safely and effectively in the context of domestic law enforcement. Our longstanding tradition of entrusting domestic law enforcement to local, state, and

individuals-no-arrest-authorities-2025-06-11 (last visited June 11, 2025).

members of the California National Guard, U.S. NORTHERN COMMAND (July 1, 2025), https://www.northcom.mil/Newsroom/Press-Releases/Article/4232021/task-force-51-releases-150-members-of-the-california-national-guard/ (last visited July 15, 2025).

¹⁴ Troops in Los Angeles Can Detain but Not Arrest Individuals, Military Official Says, REUTERS (June 11, 2025, 12:35 PM EDT), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/troops-los-angeles-can-temporarily-detain-

federal law enforcement personnel has, unlike other countries around the world, allowed the U.S. military to remain focused on its core mission.

The President's authorization to federalize the National Guard and deploy the regular Armed Forces states that they will be used "to temporarily protect ICE and other United States Government personnel who are performing Federal functions, including the enforcement of Federal law, and to protect Federal property." The commanding officer of the National Guard deployment, U.S. Army Major General Scott Sherman, has stated, "These soldiers do not conduct law enforcement operations like arrests or search and seizure. They are strictly used for the protection of the federal personnel as they conduct their operations and to protect them to allow them to do their federal mission."

In practice, the distinction between force protection and law enforcement operations is not always clear, as modern operational realities and unanticipated circumstances invite uncertainty and potential disagreement over the scope and

¹⁵ Memorandum from President Donald J. Trump to Attorney General Pam Bondi and Secretary of Homeland Security Kristi Noem, Security for the Protection of Department of Homeland Security Functions (June 7, 2025), https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/06/department-of-defense-security-for-the-protection-of-department-of-homeland-security-functions (last visited June 11, 2025).

¹⁶ TIMES RADIO, *LIVE: U.S. Major General Scott Sherman Talks About Deployment of Marines to L.A.*, at 4:45 (June 11, 2025), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vo1ep-vn8iY.

nature of appropriate and authorized conduct. These ambiguities risk miscalculations in the heat of the moment, especially among military personnel who have not received thorough training in de-escalation tactics and the intricacies of the constitutional protections afforded to civilians in the U.S. In the absence of clear, detailed guidance consistent with settled legal principles, these troops are placed in an operationally difficult position if ordered to act against their fellow Americans. *Amici* are concerned that service members deployed on these missions are not being set up for success, with potentially grave risk of irreparable harm.

III. Deploying Military Personnel for Assistance with Law Enforcement Operations Should Be a Last Resort to Avoid Politicizing the Military

A bedrock principle of American democracy is that our military is apolitical. Accordingly, U.S. military personnel are not permitted to engage in political conduct while on duty or to use their military status to endorse political candidates or political causes. ¹⁷ Critical to the military's ability to carry out its core functions is retaining the public's respect and maintaining cohesion and unity within its ranks—regardless of the political leanings of individual citizens or soldiers. Particular caution is therefore necessary if the U.S. military is to be deployed domestically in the context of a politically charged situation. This is especially so in situations that involve

 $^{^{17}}$ U.S. Dep't of Def., Directive 1344.10, Political Activities by Members of the Armed Forces 3 (2008),

political protests and citizens exercising their First Amendment rights, which members of the United States armed forces are sworn to uphold.¹⁸ It is essential that such deployments be a last resort, especially in the context of policing protests and other constitutionally protected speech and activities.

For that reason, and as noted above, federal deployments on U.S. soil have been rare, serious, and legally clear. The last major deployment of federal troops domestically occurred during the 1992 Los Angeles riots, at the request of California Governor Pete Wilson and pursuant to the Insurrection Act. That deployment was prompted by widespread violence and looting of businesses, the burning of entire blocks of homes and businesses, and dozens of civilian fatalities. By contrast, recent public reporting from Los Angeles suggests that, notwithstanding troubling incidents of property damage and violence, the recent and ongoing situation appears to be different in kind. Prior to the introduction of federal troops and for the week thereafter, there were no reported deaths, protest activity appeared largely confined to several discrete areas, and the number of arrests had been limited and for mostly low-level offenses.¹⁹

¹⁸ See 10 U.S.C. § 502 (Armed Forces enlistment oath stating "I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic" and "I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same.").

¹⁹ Brian Melley, ASSOCIATED PRESS, *LA Protests far different from '92 Rodney King riots* (Jun. 10, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/rodney-king-riots-national-

As also noted above, deployments over the objections of state officials have been even more rare and occurred in situations where state and local officials openly defied court orders or refused to protect citizens exercising their constitutional rights. Yet here, the Los Angeles Police Department and the state of California have not asked for outside assistance to control the protests and have suggested that the deployment of military troops would be more likely to escalate, rather than lessen, the public safety risk. Moreover, isolated reports of the deployed troops' low morale have surfaced, including discomfort with being drawn into domestic policing operations outside their normal field of operations and concerns that the deployments are being viewed through a political lens by much of the public.²⁰

The risks of politicization under these circumstances are profound and not speculative, especially where the President has, in his official capacity, overtly pitted the military against his professed political opponents. President Trump has

_

guard-los-angeles-69114889118a85f8f29c4d76c076a45f (last visited July 15, 2025).

²⁰ Andrew Gumbel, *Troops and marines deeply troubled by LA deployment: "Morale is not great,"* THE GUARDIAN (June 12, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jun/12/los-angeles-national-guard-troops-marines-morale (last visited July 15, 2025); *see also Veterans' advocates warn of low morale amid L.A. deployment: "This is not what we signed up for,"* L.A. TIMES (June 24, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-24/veteran-advocates-low-morale-l-a-deployment (last visited July 15, 2025); Shawn Hubler, *Trump's National Guard Troops Are Questioning Their Mission in L.A.*, N.Y. TIMES (July 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/16/us/trump-national-guard-california.html (last visited July 16, 2025).

suggested the governor of California, to whom he refers by a derogatory name, should be arrested, calling his "primary crime" as "running for governor."²¹ In a recent speech before U.S. Army personnel at Fort Bragg, President Trump repeatedly referred to the Los Angeles protests and denounced the governor, while encouraging service personnel to cheer as if at a political rally.²² Speaking about a military parade to be held in observance of the Army's 250th birthday, President Trump said, "For those people that want to protest, they're going to be met with very big force."²³

While the President is entitled to criticize his opponents in political terms, involving the military in domestic political controversies risks harming the military's ability to recruit and retain servicemembers and garner broad public support for its

Alexandra Hutzler, 'Acts of a Dictator': Newsom Lashes Out at Trump After Arrest Threat, ABC NEWS (June 9, 2025, 3:12 PM), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/war-words-trump-newsom-la-protests-escalates-arrest/story?id=122662589 (last visited June 11, 2025).

²² Konstantin Toropin & Steve Benyon, *Bragg Soldiers Who Cheered Trump's Political Attacks While in Uniform Were Checked for Allegiance, Appearance*, MILITARY.COM (June 11, 2025, 5:50 PM EDT), https://www.military.com/daily-news/2025/06/11/bragg-soldiers-who-cheered-trumps-political-attacks-while-uniform-were-checked-allegiance-appearance.html (reporting on the event and the aftermath, and noting "no fat soldiers" were allowed to attend and soldiers who disagree with the current administration were instructed not to attend).

²³ Trump Warns Protests at Military Parade Will Be Met With Force, REUTERS (June 11, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-warns-protests-army-parade-will-be-met-with-very-big-force-2025-06-10 (last visited June 11, 2025).

Case: 25-3727, 07/18/2025, DktEntry: 47.1, Page 24 of 25

budgets and programs, therefore undermining its ability to achieve its core mission of protecting the nation. It is precisely for this reason that the military should be kept out of domestic law enforcement whenever possible.

CONCLUSION

The active-duty military and National Guard serve a critical role in U.S. national security. Domestic deployments that fail to adhere to exacting legal requirements and long-established guardrails threaten their core national security and disaster relief missions, put the military at risk of politicization, and pose serious risks to both servicemembers and civilians. We appreciate the Court's due consideration of these critical factors in determining whether this case should be reheard en banc.

Dated: July 18, 2025 Los Angeles, CA Respectfully submitted,

Beau Tremitiere
Kristy Parker
PROTECT DEMOCRACY UNITED
2020 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 163
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 579-4582
beau.tremitiere@protectdemocracy.org
kristy.parker@protectdemocracy.org

/s/ Susan Har
Susan Har
Counsel of Record
Mack E. Jenkins
Matthew J. Craig
HECKER FINK LLP
1150 South Olive Street, Suite 10-140
Los Angeles, CA 90015
(212) 763-0883
shar@heckerfink.com
mjenkins@heckerfink.com
mcraig@heckerfink.com

Attorneys for Amici Curiae Former U.S. Army and Navy Secretaries and Retired Four-Star Admirals and Generals

Case: 25-3727, 07/18/2025, DktEntry: 47.1, Page 25 of 25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Susan Har, hereby certify that on July 18, 2025, I electronically filed the

foregoing Brief of Amici Curiae, Former U.S. Army and Navy Secretaries and

Retired Four-Star Admirals and Generals, with the Clerk of the Court for the United

States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.

A true and correct copy of this brief has been served via the Court's CM/ECF system

on all counsel of record.

Dated: July 18, 2025 /s/ Susan Har

Susan Har

Attorney for Amici Curiae Former U.S. Army and Navy Secretaries and Retired Four-Star Admirals and Generals